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**Standard Specification for**

### Compost for Erosion/Sediment Control (Filter Berms and Filter Socks)

**SCOPE**

This specification covers compost produced from various organic by-products for use as a filter berm or filter sock media for erosion/sediment control. The technique described in this specification is primarily used for temporary erosion/sediment control applications, where perimeter controls are required or necessary.

The compost berm technology is appropriate for slopes up to a 2:1 grade (horizontal distance : vertical distance) and on level surfaces and should only be used in areas that have sheetflow drainage patterns (not areas that receive concentrated flows).

The filter sock technology is appropriate for areas outlined in Section 1.2 as well as areas of high sheet erosion, , around inlets, and in other disturbed areas of construction sites requiring sediment control. Unlike filter berms, the filter sock technology may be used in areas that have concentrated flow drainage patterns, up to 10 gallons per minute per linear foot of filter sock.

### GENERAL DESCRIPTION

Compost is the product resulting from the controlled biological decomposition of organic material, occurring under aerobic conditions, that has been sanitized through the generation of heat and stabilized to the point that it is appropriate for its particular application. Active composting is typically characterized by a high-temperature phase that sanitizes the product and allows a high rate of decomposition, followed by a lower-temperature phase that allows the product to stabilize while still decomposing at a slower rate. Compost should possess no objectionable odors or substances toxic to plants, and shall not resemble the raw material from which it was derived. Compost contains plant nutrients but is typically not characterized as a fertilizer.

Compost may be derived from a variety of feedstocks, including agricultural, forestry, food, or industrial residuals; biosolids (treated sewage sludge); leaf and yard trimmings; manure; tree wood; or source-separated or mixed solid waste.

Proper thermophilic composting, meeting the US Environmental Protection Agency’s definition for a ‘process to further reduce pathogens’ (PFRP), will effectively reduce populations of human and plant pathogens, as well as destroy noxious weed seeds and propagules.

Compost is typically characterized as a finely screened and stabilized product that is used as a soil amendment. However, most composts also contain a wood based fraction (e.g., bark, ground brush and tree wood, wood chips, etc.) which is typically removed before use as a soil amendment. This coarser, woody fraction of compost plays an important role when compost is used in erosion and sediment control. It is even possible to add fresh, ground bark or composted, properly sized wood based materials to a compost product, as necessary, to improve its efficacy in this application.

Compost products acceptable for this application must meet the chemical, physical and biological properties outlined in the following section.

### CHEMICAL, PHYSICAL AND BIOLOGICAL PARAMETERS

Compost products specified for use in this application must meet the criteria specified in Table 1. The products’ parameters will vary based on whether vegetation will be established on the filter berm or if it will be self contained in a filter sock.

Only compost products that meet all applicable state and federal regulations pertaining to its production and distribution may be used in this application. Approved compost products must meet related state and federal chemical contaminant

(e.g., heavy metals, pesticides, etc.) and pathogen limits pertaining to the feedstocks (source materials) in which it is derived.

##### Table 1 – Filter Berm and Filter Sock Media Parameters

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Parameters1,4** | **Reported as (units of****measure)** | **Filter Berm to be Vegetated** | **Filter Berm to be left Un-****vegetated** | **Filter Sock Media** |
| pH2 | pH units | 5.0 - 8.5 | N/A | 5.0 – 8.5 |
| Soluble Salt Concentration2(electrical conductivity) | dS/m (mmhos/cm) | Maximum 5 | N/A | N/A |
| Moisture Content | %, wet weight basis | 30 – 60 | 30 – 60 | <60 |
| Organic Matter Content | %, dry weight basis | 25 – 65 | 25 - 100 | 25 – 100 |
| Particle Size | % passing a selected mesh size, dry weight basis | * 3” (75 mm), 100%

passing* 1” (25mm), 90%

to 100% passing* 3/4” (19mm), 70%

to 100% passing* 1/4” (6.4mm), 30% to 75%

passing Maximum:* particle size length of 6” (152mm)

(no more than 60% passing 1/4” (6.4 mm) in high rainfall/flow rate situations) | * 3” (75 mm),

100% passing* 1” (25mm), 90%

to 100% passing* 3/4” (19mm), 70% to 100%

passing* 1/4” (6.4mm), 30% to 75%

passing Maximum:* particle size length of 6” (152mm)

(no more than 50% passing 1/4” (6.4 mm) in high rainfall/flow rate situations) | * 2” (50 mm) 99%

passing* 3/8” (10 mm), 30-50% passing

(or 50-70%retained) Maximum:* 2”
 |
| Stability3 Carbon DioxideEvolution Rate | mg CO2-C per g OM per day | < 8 | N/A | N/A |
| Physical Contaminants (man-made inerts) | %, dry weight basis | < 1 | < 1 | <1 |

**1** Recommended test methodologies are provided in Test Methods for the Examination of Composting and Compost (TMECC, The US Composting Council)

2 Each specific plant species requires a specific pH range. Each plant also has a salinity tolerance rating, and maximum

tolerable quantities are known. When specifying the establishment of any plant or turf species, it is important to understand their pH and soluble salt requirements, and how they relate to the compost in use.

**3** Stability/Maturity rating is an area of compost science that is still evolving, and as such, other various test methods could be considered.

Also, never base compost quality conclusions on the result of a single stability/maturity test.

4 Landscape architects and project (field) engineers may modify the allowable compost specification ranges based on specific field conditions and plant requirements.

Very coarse (woody) composts that contain less than 30% of fine particles (1mm in size) should be avoided if optimum reductions in total suspended solids (TSS) is desired or if the berm is to be seeded.

In regions subjected to higher rates of precipitation and/or greater rainfall intensity, larger compost filter berms or filter socks should be used. In these particular regions, coarser compost products are preferred as the filter berm must allow for

an improved water percolation rate. Design note: Engineers should inquire as to the flow rate per linear foot of filter sock in order to ensure drainage rate of tool being used is in accordance with total watershed management plan. Required flow through rates are outlined in Table 2.

##### Table 2 – Suggested Compost Filter Sock Flow Rates

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Annual Rainfall/Flow Rate** | **Flow Rates** |
| Low | 4-6 gallons/minute |
| Average | 6-10 gallons/minute |
| High | >10 gallons/minute |

**Notes**: Specifying the use of compost products that are certified by the US Composting Council’s Seal of Testing Assurance (STA) Program ([www.compostingcouncil.org](http://www.compostingcouncil.org/)) will allow for the acquisition of products that are analyzed on a routine basis, using the specified test methods. STA participants are also required to provide a standard product label to all customers, allowing easy comparison to other products.

**FIELD APPLICATION**

The following steps shall be taken for the proper installation of compost as a filter berm or filter sock media for erosion/sediment control on both level and sloped areas. Either device should be placed as prescribed on the engineering plans.

***FILTER BERMS***

Parallel to the base of the slope, or around the perimeter of affected areas, construct a trapezoidal berm at the dimensions specified in Table 3. In general, when compost filter berms are used to control erosion/sediment near, or on a slope, the base of the berm should be twice the height of the berm.

Compost shall be applied to the dimensions specified in Table 3.

##### Table 3 – Compost Filter Berm Dimensions

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Annual Rainfall/Flow Rate** | **Total Precipitation & Rainfall Erosivity Index** | **Dimensions for the Compost Filter Berm****(height x width)** |
| Low | 1-25”,20-90 | 1’x 2’ – 1.5’ x 3’(30 cm x 60 cm – 45 cm x 90 cm) |
| Average | 26-50”,91-200 | 1’x 2’ - 1.5’ x 3’(30 cm x 60 cm – 45 cm x 90 cm) |
| High | 51” and above,201 and above | 1.5’x 3’ – 2’ x 4’(45 cm x 90 cm – 60cm x 120 cm) |

Compost filter berm dimensions should be modified based on specific site (e.g., soil characteristics, existing vegetation) and climatic conditions, as well as particular project related requirements. The severity of slope grade, as well as slope length will also influence the size of the berm.

In regions subjected to higher rates of precipitation and/or rainfall intensity, as well as spring snow melt, larger berms should be used. In these regions, and on sites possessing severe grades or long slope lengths, berms possessing a larger dimension may be used. Berms may be placed at the top and the base of the slope, a series of berms may be constructed down the profile of the slope (15-25’ apart), or berms may be used in conjunction with a compost blanket

(surface applied compost). In these particular regions, as well as regions subject to wind erosion, coarser compost products are also preferred for use in filter berm construction.

In regions subject to lower rates of precipitation and/or rainfall intensity, smaller berms may be used. However, the minimum filter berm dimensions shall be 1’ high (30 cm) by 2’ wide (60 cm).

**Note:** specific regions may receive higher rainfall rates, but this rainfall is received through low intensity rainfall events (e.g., the Northwestern U.S.). These regions may use smaller berms.

Larger berms should also be used where required to be in place and functioning for more than one year.

Compost shall be uniformly applied using an approved spreader unit; including pneumatic blowers, specialized berm machines, etc. When applied, the compost should be directed at the soil surface, compacting (settling) and shaping the berm to some degree. The filter berm may also be applied by hand when approved by the Project Engineer or Landscape Architect/Designer.

On highly unstable soils, use compost filter berms in conjunction with appropriate structural measures. If used in conjunction with a silt fence, the silt fence fabric shall be laid on the soil surface with the lip facing the slope. The compost filter berm shall be constructed at the base of the silt fence (downhill side) and over the entire fence fabric lip.

Seeding the berm may be done, if desired, in conjunction with pneumatic blowing, or following berm construction with a hydraulic seeding unit, or by hand.

***FILTER SOCKS***

Filter socks shall either be made on site or delivered to the jobsite. The filter sock shall be produced from a 5 mil thick continuous HDPE filament, woven into a tubular mesh netting material, with openings in the knitted mesh of 3/8” (10mm). This shall then be filled with compost meeting the specifications outlined in Table 1 to the diameter of the sock. Filter sock netting materials are also available in biodegradable plastics for areas where removal and disposal are not planned. Filter socks contain the compost, allowing filtration to occur even during peak storm events and concentrated flows.

Filter socks will be placed at locations indicated on plans as directed by the engineer. Filter socks should be installed parallel to the base of the slope or other affected area, perpendicular to sheet flow. In extreme conditions (i.e., 2:1 slopes), or when sheet flow flows to the area from a parcel above the work zone, a second sock shall be constructed at the top of the slope in order to dissipate flows.

On location where greater than a 200-foot long section of ground is to be treated with a filter sock, the sock lengths should be sleeved. After one sock section (200 feet) is filled and tied off (knotted) or zip tied, the second sock section shall be pulled over the first (1-2 feet) and 'sleeved' creating a overlap. Once overlapped, the second section is filled with compost starting at the sleeved area to create a seemless appearance. The socks may be staked at the overlapped area (where the sleeve is) to keep the sections together. Sleeving at the joints is necessary because it reduces the opportunity for water to penetrate the joints when installed in the field.

In general, 12” diameter filter sock will replace normal (24”) silt fences and 18” diameter filter sock will replace ‘super silt’ (36”) silt fences reinforced with steel posts.

If the filter sock is to be left as a permanent filter or part of the natural landscape, it may be seeded at time of installation for establishment of permanent vegetation. The Engineer shall specify seed requirements.

Filter socks may be used in direct flow situations perpendicular to runoff channels not exceeding 3 feet (90 cm) in depth. Normally, 8” filter socks should be used. Be sure to stake the filter sock perpendiular to water flow, at a minimum interval of 10 linear feet, using a 2” (5 cm) by 2” (5 cm) wooden stakes. The stakes should be projected through the center of the filter sock and into the soil 1’ (30 cm) foot deep, and leaving 3” to 4” (7.5 to 10 cm) protruding above the Filter sock.

## APPENDIX FOR SPECIFICATIONS

### COMPOST SAMPLING AND CHARACTERIZATION

Sampling procedures to be used for purposes of this specification (and the Seal of Testing Assurance program) are as provided in 02.01 Field Sampling of Compost Materials, 02.01-B Selection of Sampling Locations for Windrows and Piles of the Test Methods for the Examination of Compost and Composting (TMECC), Chapter 2, Section One, Sample Collection and Laboratory Preparation, jointly published by the USDA and USCC (2002 publishing as a part of the USDA National Resource Conservation Technical Bulletin Series). The sample collection section is available online at [http://tmecc.org/tmecc/.](http://tmecc.org/tmecc/)

Test Methods to be used for purposes of this specification are as provided in The Test Methods for the Examination of Compost and Composting (TMECC), Jointly published by the USDA and USCC (2002 publishing as a part of the USDA National Resource Conservation Technical Bulletin Series). A list of such methods is provided in the table below and online at [http://tmecc.org/tmecc/.](http://tmecc.org/tmecc/)

##### Test Methods for Compost Characterization

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Compost Parameters** | **Reported as** | **Test Method** | **Test Method Name** |
| pH |  | TMECC 04.11-A | Electrometric pH Determinations for Compost. 1:5 Slurry Method |
| Soluble salts | dS/m (mmhos/cm) | TMECC 04.10-A | Electrical Conductivity for Compost. 1:5Slurry Method (Mass Basis) |
| Primary plant nutrients: | %, as-is (wet) & dry weight basis |  |  |
| Nitrogen | Total N | TMECC 04.02-D | Nitrogen. Total Nitrogen by Combustion |
| Phosphorus | P2O5 | TMECC 04.03-A | Phosphorus. Total Phosphorus |
| Potassium | K2O | TMECC 04.04-A | Potassium. Total Potassium |
| Calcium | Ca | TMECC 04.04-Ca | Secondary and Micro-Nutrient Content.Calcium |
| Magnesium | Mg | TMECC 04.04-Mg | Secondary and Micro-Nutrient Content.Magnesium |
| Moisture content | %, wet weight basis | TMECC 03.09-A | Total Solids and Moisture at 70±5°C |
| Organic matter content | %, dry weight basis | TMECC 05.07-A | Matter Method. Loss On Ignition Organic Matter Method |
| Particle size | Screen size passing through | TMECC 02.12-B | Laboratory Sample Preparation. SampleSieving for Aggregate Size Classification. |
| Stability (respirometry) | mg CO2-C per g TS per day mg CO2-C per g OM per day | TMECC 05.08-B | Respirometry. Carbon Dioxide Evolution Rate |
| Maturity (Bioassay)Percent Emergence Relative Seedling Vigor | % (average)% (average) | TMECC 05.05-A | Biological Assays. Seedling Emergenceand Relative Growth |

**ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

For additional information on regional precipitation rates or rainfall erosivity indexes go on-line at <http://www.cpc.ncep.noaa.gov/products/analyses_monitoring/regional_monitoring/>us\_12-month\_precip.html or <http://danpatch.ecn.purdue.edu/~wepphtml/wepp/wepptut/jhtml/imagedir/usa.gif>

US Composting Council Seal of Testing Assurance Program documents, at [http://tmecc.org/sta/,](http://tmecc.org/sta/) or [www.compostingcouncil.org.](http://www.compostingcouncil.org/)
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